

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Rox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,819	10/11/2001	Per Blomstedt	024444-976	7898	
75	590 06/18/2003				
Ronald L. Grudziecki BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER		
			CHEN, BRET P		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/973,819

Applicant(s)

Per Blomstedt et al.

Examiner

Bret Chen

Art Unit

		Bret	Chen	1762		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with the corres	pondence address		
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 31, 2	2003		·		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 8		is/are	pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 8			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	e a) 🗌 accepted	d or b) 🗆 objecte	ed to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		ion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm	nent(s)	_				
1) 🗌 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	~	rmal Patent Application	(PTO-152)		
3) in	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) U Other:				

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 8 is pending in this application. Amended claim 8 is noted.

The amendment dated 3/31/03 has been entered and carefully considered. The examiner appreciates the amendments to the abstract, title, specification, and claims. In view of said amendment, the objection to the abstract, title, 112 rejection, and art rejection have been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 8 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,447,912 for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/973819 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant first argues that there are numerous differences between Patent 6,447,912 and the claimed invention (pp.5-6).

The examiner disagrees. It is noted that the patented claim 15 recites:

A method for producing a diamond coated cemented carbide body with a surface zone in the cemented carbide being different from the rest of the carbide body the method comprising:

decarburizing the body to form an eta phase containing surface zone 3-100 .mu.m thick and comprising >60% by volume of at least partially interconnected eta phase and recarburizing the body whereby the eta phase is completely transformed into WC+Co.

The limitation in applicant's claim 8 which recites "a body comprising a cemented carbide of WC ... a substoichiometric carbon content" reads on Mikus' "a surface zone in the cemented carbide being different from the rest of the carbide body".

The limitation of "sintering the body such that an eta phase ... is obtained" reads on Mikus' "decarburizing the body to form an eta phase".

The limitation of "subjecting the cemented carbide body to recarburization" reads on Mikus' "recarburizing the body".

In other words, the applicant's claim, while not identical word for word, is basically the same as that of the cited reference. The only significant difference appears to be the use of the diamond coating which the examiner has deemed an obvious variation.

Application/Control Number: 09/973819

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

June 15, 2003

BRET CHEV PRIMARY EXAMINER